

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,827	07/17/2003	Howard C. Willauer JR.	5226A	1996
7590 06/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Sara M. Current			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
Legal Department, M-495 PO Box 1926			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Spartanburg, So	C 29304		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				mi				
Office Assistant Communication		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/621,827	WILLAUER, HOW	ARD C.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Cheryl Juska	1771					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress				
THE - Extended - Extended - If the - If NO - Failth - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o iill apply and will expire SIX (6) i cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this considered timel BEANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			•	•				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 21-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) ot drawing(s) be held in abe ion is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ring(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received i ity documents have be ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) 🔲 Noti 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 07/03.	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	<b>D-152</b> )				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/621,827 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 21, 27-29, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 21 is indefinite for the lack of antecedent basis for the term "said first angle" in line 8 of the claim.
- 4. Claims 27-29 are indefinite because it is unclear if the recited degrees are descriptive of angle direction or lateral direction.
- 5. Claim 32 is indefinite for the use of the phrase "having a unique visual appearance." It is unclear what is "unique" about the appearance and what said appearance is being compared to.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Applicant claims a material comprising a base web portion and a plurality of pile yarns having a lower portion of a first color and an upper portion of a second color, wherein a first

Application/Control Number: 10/621,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

plurality of pile yarns extend from the base web at a first position and a second plurality extend at a second position such that the first color is exposed at adjacent positions. The base web may be a knit fabric, woven fabric, nonwoven fabric, or film. The pile yarns are preferably integrally formed with the base web and/or may be made by napping, tufting, sanding, flocking, or adhesive pile application. At least some or all of the pile fibers yarns may comprise thermoplastic fibers. the first and second positions may differ in angle direction, lateral direction, or a combination thereof. The difference between the first and second positions may be at least 30 degrees, preferably at least 60 degrees, or most preferably at least 90 degrees. Said first and second plurality of pile yarns may define a durable pattern.

8. Claim 21-26 and 30-36 are rejected under 35 USC 103(b) as being anticipated by US 1,871,249 issued to Waite.

Waite teaches a tufted pile rug comprising rayon (i.e., thermoplastic) yarns tufted into a base web or primary backing of woven canvas or similar fabric (page 1, lines 3-21). When the tufted rug is dyed, the tips of the pile fibers absorb dye more quickly and acquire a deeper shape of color that the base of the pile fibers (page 1, lines 74-82). As such, when a portion of the pile of the rug is "stroked down," the lighter colored lower portions of the pile are visible and contrast with the deeper colored pile tips (page 1, lines 90-96). Thus, claims 21-26, 30, 32, and 34-36 are anticipated by the Waite disclosure.

With respect to claims 31 and 33, it is argued that when a portion of the rug is "stroked down" a pattern is inherently formed of the stroked and non-stroked portions. Additionally, it is argued that the claim recitation to a "durable pattern" is met in that said recitation is a relative term and as such cannot serve to patentably distinguish the present invention from the prior art.

Specifically, it is argued that the "stroked down" portion is "durable" until further disturbed. Therefore, claims 31 and 33 are also anticipated.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited Waite patent.

Although Waite does not explicitly teach the claimed degree difference in positions, it is argued that said difference is obvious to one skilled in the art. Specifically, one can intentionally or unintentionally during use "stroke down" the rug to various degrees including those presently claimed. Therefore, claims 27-29 are also rejected.

11. Claims 21-36 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2,563,259 issued to Miller, US 3,917,883 issued to Jepson, and/or US 6,247,215 issued to Van Alboom et al. in view of the cited Waite patent, US 2,622,307 issued to Cogovan et al., and/or US 3,999,940 issued to Freeman. In the alternative, said claims are rejected over the cited Waite, Cogovan, and/or Freeman patents in view of the cited Miller, Jepson, and/or Van Alboom patents.

Pile fabrics comprising textured or oriented surfaces (i.e., portions of pile at a first angle and portions of pile at a second angle) are well known in the art. For example, Miller teaches a method of making pile fabrics having portions of fibers displaced from a position normal to the

Art Unit: 1771

plane of said fabric (Figures 9 and 9a and col. 2, line 35-col. 3, line 6). Jepson also teaches a pile fabric comprising a surface textured of portions of pile fibers with a non-perpendicular orientation and other portions having a different non-perpendicular orientation (abstract and Figure 3). Similarly, Van Alboom discloses an inventive method for making a printed multicolored pile fabric having random groups that vary in pile orientation (abstract and Figure 2). Thus, it is well known in the art to create textured or oriented pile surfaces to produce aesthetically pleasing fabrics having improved reflection of light due to the angled fibers and improved visual appearance due to the reduction in bruising or crushing of the pile.

Additionally, it is well known in the art to color pile fabrics so that the tips of said pile have a color visually distinct from the base of said pile. For example, the Waite patent is described above. Cogovan teaches a soil-resistant pile fabric comprising a coating applied to the tips of the pile that also produces a lighter color on said tips than the color of the uncoated pile base (col. 4, lines 49-65). Freeman teaches multicolored or "frosted" pile fabrics comprising an upper pile portion of one color and a lower pile portion of another color (abstract).

Thus, it would have been readily obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify with the multicolored pile of Waite, Cogovan, and/or Freeman in order to further accentuate the textured surface due to the color contrast of the pile. Alternatively, it would have been readily obvious to modify the multicolored pile of Waite, Cogovan, and/or Freeman with the textured pile surfaces of Miller, Jepson, and/or Van Alboom in order to accentuate the color differences by creating exposed lower portions of pile fibers. either way, the combination of textured pile surface and multicolored pile fibers is obvious over the prior art and would produce an

Application/Control Number: 10/621,827

Art Unit: 1771

aesthetically pleasing pile fabric having a unique appearance due to both the pile orientation and the color contrast. Therefore, claims 21-36 are rejected as being obvious over the cited prior art.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cj June 22, 2005